## 800K 47 PAGE 635

F. VERNON BOOZER and EDWARD C. \* IN THE

COVAHEY, JR., Substituted Trustees \* CIRCUIT COURT

VS. \* OF

JOSEPH G. PEISINGER, SR. and \* FREDERICK COUNTY

M. BRENDA PEISINGER, his wife \* IN EQUITY 32, 506

\*\*\*\*\*

## PETITION TO AUTHORIZE THE TRUSTEES TO

## PROCEED WITH MINIMAL BOND

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

The petition of F. Vernon Boozer and Edward C. Covahey, Jr., Substitute Trustees in the above-entitled cause, respectfully represents that:

- (1) On November 17, 1978, the defendants executed and delivered to Steed Mortgage Company, a Deed of Trust upon certain fee simple property in Frederick County therein described, to secure the payment of the Deed of Trust debt of Fifty-Six Thousand Three Hundred (\$56,300.00) Dollars; the payment of said Deed of Trust and the Note secured thereby having been insured by the Housing and Urban Development.
- (2) Your Petitioners, Substitute Trustees instituted foreclosure proceedings in this matter after a default had occurred in the payment of principal and interest, as provided in the Deed of Trust.
- (3) In connection with said foreclosure proceedings, your petitioners request that they be allowed to file a minimal trustees' bond in the amount of Seven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$7,500.00). It is the opinion of your petitioners that no purpose would be served at this time, nor would it be necessary to require a more substantial bond prior to sale. Moreover, in the event the property is purchased at the sale by the holder of the Deed of Trust Note and subsequently transferred to the Housing and Urban Development pursuant to the terms of the insurance contract, it is also the opinion of your petitioners that it would not be necessary to increase the amount of the bond after the sale for that reason.
- (4) In the event that a sale of the secured premises is made to someone other than the holder of the Deed of Trust Note, it is the opinion